

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/829,788		BIRRU, DAGNACHEW		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Ted M. Wang		2634		

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) Steve Peterson.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8-10, 12-14, 18, 19, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, Steve Peterson. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:50 p.m.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

8/17/05
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)